HOSPITAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 49 COMMON MEDICAL PROCEDURES AND TREATMENTS

Report Period: Federal Fiscal Year 2005 (October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005)

Technical Notes

for

Western Pennsylvania

Central and Northeastern Pennsylvania

Southeastern Pennsylvania

Includes Methodology for Procedure and Treatment Groups in the Printed Report and on the Council's Web Site

The Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council September 2006

Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council 225 Market Street, Suite 400 Harrisburg, PA 17101

> Phone: (717) 232-6787 Fax: (717) 232-3821 www.phc4.org

Marc P. Volavka, Executive Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview	. 1
Data Collection and Verification	. 2
Hospitals Not Reported	. 2
Procedure and Treatment Groups	. 2
Rationale for Including Code-Based Conditions	. 2
Selection of Code-Based Conditions and DRGs	
Study Population	
Inclusion Criteria	
Exclusion Criteria	
Utilization and Outcome Measure Analyses	
Exclusions from Analyses	
Procedure and Treatment Groups Excluded from Analyses	
Records Excluded from Analyses	
Trimming	
Determination of Utilization and Outcome Values	
Determining Actual (Observed) Values	
Determining Expected (Predicted) Values	
Risk Adjustment Procedures	
Atlas Outcomes™ Approach for Risk Adjustment	
PHC4 Model Selection	
Calculation of Expected Values	
·	
Determining Risk-Adjusted Values	
Special Considerations for Length of Stay Outlier Measures	
Special Considerations for Average Charge	
Determining Statistical Ratings	
Binomial Distribution	
Calculation of p-values	
Inferential Error	
Assignment of Statistical Rating	
Case Requirements for Reporting	11
Definition Tables	
Table A. The 30 Code-Based Conditions and 19 DRGs in the FFY 2005 Hospital Performance Report	
Table B. ICD-9-CM Codes Used to Define Readmissions for Complication or Infecton	15
Data Tables	
Table C. Statewide Utilization and Outcome Data	
Code-Based Conditions	17
2. Diagnostic Related Groups (DRGs)	18
Table D. Statewide Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection, by Reason for Readmiss	ion
Code-Based Conditions	
Diagnostic Related Groups (DRGs)	
Table E. Statewide Exclusions from Analyses, By Measure	
Table F. Hospitals Not Reported in the FFY 2005 Hospital Performance Report	
Appendix	
Table 1. Valid Discharge Status CodesA	ι-1
Table 2. Example of Linear RegressionA	
Table 3. Example of Logistic RegressionA	
Table 4. Example of Case-Mix AdjustmentA	

F	PHC4 • Hospital Performance Report • FFY 2005 Data • Technical Notes	
Glossary o	of Abbreviated Terms	۸-5

Technical Notes FFY 2005 Hospital Performance Report

OVERVIEW

This document serves as a technical supplement to the *FFY 2005 Hospital Performance Report* (HPR). The Technical Notes describe the methodology of the analyses and outline the development of the report format and presentation. This document also includes data tables containing information about the statewide results and cases excluded from analysis.

The current report presents the following quality measures for adult (≥ 18 years of age) cases in 49 procedure and treatment groups (see Data Tables C1 and C2 for statewide results):

- Risk-adjusted Mortality Rating—In-hospital Mortality was identified in the patient discharge record as a discharge status of "20."
- Risk-adjusted Length of Stay—Length of Stay was calculated by subtracting the admit date from the discharge date.
- Risk-adjusted Length of Stay Outlier Rates and Ratings—Length of stay outliers (short/long) were those hospitalizations below the 5th or above the 95th percentile of all statewide hospitalizations.
- Risk-adjusted Readmissions for Any Reason Rating—A hospital readmission was defined as
 an acute care rehospitalization, for any reason, which occurred within 30 days of the discharge
 date of the original hospitalization.
- Risk-adjusted Readmissions for Complication or Infection Rating—A readmission for complication or infection was defined as a rehospitalization with a principal diagnosis of a complication or infection, which occurred within 30 days of the discharge date of the original hospitalization. See Tables D1 and D2 in the "Data Tables" section for detail on cases readmitted for complication or infection, by reason for readmission.
- Average Hospital Charge (adjusted by case-mix at the regional level)—Hospital charge was
 the patient total charge excluding professional fees.
- Transfer to Acute Care—Percent of cases that were transferred to another acute care facility.
 Transfer cases were identified as a discharge status of "02" (short term general hospital) or "63" (long term care hospital) in the discharge records of patients admitted for Heart Attack Medical Management.

It should be noted that not all of these outcome measures are appropriate for all procedure or treatment groups. Those measures not suitable for a particular procedure or treatment group were not analyzed and therefore are not reported.

The printed report includes 30 code-based conditions. Each condition was defined by a particular set of ICD-9-CM codes and limited to certain DRGs. The Council's Web site reports utilization and outcome information for adult cases in the 30 code-based conditions and in 19 DRGs.

The printed report is comprised of three separate "area" reports, which include summaries by procedure and treatment groups for the state, area, and individual hospitals in the area. The three areas allow a broader range of comparison among acute care facilities. These areas are divided into 9 regions as described below:

Western Pennsylvania

- 1 Southwestern PA—Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Fayette, Greene, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties
- 2 Northwest PA—Cameron, Clarion, Clearfield, Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, Jefferson, Lawrence, McKean, Mercer, Potter, Venango, and Warren Counties
- 3 Southern Allegheny—Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Indiana, and Somerset Counties

Central and Northeastern Pennsylvania

- 4 Northcentral PA—Centre, Clinton, Columbia, Lycoming, Mifflin, Montour, Northumberland, Snyder, Tioga, and Union Counties
- 5 Southcentral PA—Adams, Cumberland, Dauphin, Franklin, Fulton, Huntingdon, Juniata, Lancaster, Lebanon, Perry, and York Counties
- 6 Northeastern PA—Bradford, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Monroe, Pike, Sullivan, Susquehanna, Wayne, and Wyoming Counties

Southeastern Pennsylvania

- 7 Lehigh Valley/Reading—Berks, Carbon, Lehigh, Northampton, and Schuylkill Counties
- 8 Suburban Philadelphia—Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery Counties
- 9 City of Philadelphia—Philadelphia County

DATA COLLECTION AND VERIFICATION

The Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council is mandated by state law to collect (using guidelines set forth by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services) and disseminate health care data. The data for the FFY 2005 report, obtained from the UB-92 (Uniform Billing Form), were submitted electronically on a quarterly basis to the Council by Pennsylvania general acute care (GAC) and specialty GAC hospitals as directed by the data submission requirements of Act 89 (currently Act 14). Federal hospitals were not required to submit data. The data included demographic information, hospital charges, and diagnosis and procedure codes using the ICD-9-CM (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification).

In a contractual agreement with MediQual Systems, Inc.[®] in Marlborough, Massachusetts (a business of Cardinal Health) hospitals are required to use MediQual's *Atlas Outcomes™* Severity of Illness System to abstract patient severity information. The Admission Severity scores, the Predicted Probability of Death (MQPredDeath), and the Predicted Length of Stay (MQPredLOS) values generated by this system are submitted to the Council for a select group of acute care inpatient records. For the period of this report (Q4-2004 through Q3-2005) these submissions covered approximately 50 percent of acute care hospital discharges.

Facilities are required to submit data to the Council on a quarterly basis by 90 days from the last day of each quarter. Upon receipt of the data, media verification are performed to assure data are submitted in a readable format. The data verification process continued with extensive quality assurance checks and matching of *Atlas Outcomes*TM-derived records to inpatient records. Error reports are then generated and returned to each facility with an opportunity to correct any problems.

Hospitals Not Reported

Utilization and outcome data is not reported for non-compliant, closed, and pediatric hospitals. See Table F in the "Data Tables" section of this document for details of hospitals not included in this year's report. Although data and analyses specific to these facilities are not displayed in the printed or Web site release editions of the *Hospital Performance Report*, their valid, adult (≥ 18 years of age) records were retained in the reference database for statistical analyses of Mortality, Length of Stay, Length of Stay Outliers, Readmissions for Any Reason, Readmissions for Complication or Infection, and Average Charge.

PROCEDURE AND TREATMENT GROUPS

Rationale for Including "Code-Based" Conditions

The 49 procedure and treatment groups included in the current *Hospital Performance Report* are comprised of both DRGs and ICD-9-CM code-based conditions. While DRGs typically represent a subset of all patients with a specific diagnosis or surgical treatment that are homogeneous with regard to resource use, the code-based conditions were designed to represent a more clinically cohesive group of patients.

Development of more clinically cohesive groups was accomplished by defining code-based conditions by specific ICD-9-CM codes—as the principal diagnosis or principal procedure—and restricting them to select DRGs. For example, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) was defined as cases with a principal diagnosis of 491.20, 491.21, 492.0, 492.8, 496 and 506.4 and restricted to DRG 088. In addition, cases that were deemed to be clinically complex were excluded. For example, HIV infection in any diagnosis position was excluded from all code-based conditions.

Selection of Code-Based Conditions and DRGs

The procedure and treatment groups included in the *Hospital Performance Report* were selected primarily because 1) they showed high volume or mortality, 2) they showed high variability in mortality among hospitals, 3) they were described in the literature as high cost, high mortality conditions, or 4) the transfer rate (i.e., transfer to another acute care facility) was typically less than 5 percent (so that a complete picture of the care delivered could be obtained by examining a single discharge record). In addition, since the report includes data from acute care facilities regardless of bed size, procedure and treatment groups were selected that are prevalent at smaller facilities as well as at larger facilities. The selected conditions and DRGs represent a broad range of both medical and surgical hospitalizations.

STUDY POPULATION

Inclusion Criteria

The study population for the *Hospital Performance Report* (printed and Web site) included useable records from all Pennsylvania general acute care (GAC) and specialty GAC hospital discharges in FFY 2005. All records that met the definition criteria outlined in the "Procedure and Treatment Groups" section were included. During the study period there were 179 facilities in Pennsylvania.

Exclusion Criteria

The number of cases included in any single type of analysis varies because each area of analysis has its own unique set of exclusion criteria (see "Records Excluded from Analyses" section). However, the following exclusions were common to all procedure and treatment groups:

- Duplicate records
- Missing or invalid discharge (DC) status (see Appendix Table 1 for valid codes)
- Non-adult (< 18 years) or invalid age (e.g., data not available for calculation of age, or > 120 years)
- Patients who left against medical advice (LAMA, DC Status Code—07)
- Patients transferred to acute care facilities (short-term or long-term care hospital; DC Status codes—02, 43, 63)
 - Exception: discharge status codes 02, 43 and 63 are not excluded from the Heart Attack Medical Management population for analysis of the Transfer to Acute Care percent.

Clinically complex exclusions were applied to the code-based study populations. Records with an HIV infection code (ICD-9-CM code 042, in any position) were excluded from all of the code-based diagnoses and procedure conditions. Also, cases with abdominal trauma codes¹, in any position, were excluded from the Colorectal Procedures study population.

UTILIZATION AND OUTCOME MEASURE ANALYSES

Exclusions from Analyses

Procedure and Treatment Groups Excluded from Analyses

Outcomes are reported for code-based conditions and DRGs based on the appropriateness of the measure to the code-based condition or DRG. The following guidelines were used to determine which procedure and treatment groups would be excluded from a particular analysis:

- Length of Stay Outlier Rates and Ratings (Short and Long) were not analyzed for a particular code-based condition or DRG when less than 95% of the cases in that condition fell into a single MediQual Disease Group.²
- Mortality Ratings were not analyzed for code-based conditions or DRGs with low mortality (statewide) when the risk-adjustment models were ineffective or lacked face validity.

¹ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes 863.0 to 864.19, 868.0 to 869.1, 879.2 to 879.9, 902.0 to 902.9, 908.1, 908.2, 908.4, 908.6, 908.9, 922.2, 935.2, 936, 937, 938, 947.3.

²Because Length of Stay Outlier Rate and Ratings were based on *Atlas Outcomes* Predicted Length of Stay (MQPredLOS) values, these measures were not calculated for those procedure and treatment groups for which the *Atlas Outcomes* algorithms (used to calculate the MQPredLOS) could not be suitably applied.

- Readmissions (for Any Reason and for Complication or Infection) were not analyzed for a
 particular code-based condition or DRG when 10% or more of the cases were cancer
 related. In addition, Readmissions were not analyzed for Heart Attack Medical
 Management because rehospitalizations are expected as part of the treatment process.
 To maintain consistency within the Heart Attack conditions, Heart Attack –
 Angioplasty/Stent was also excluded from the Readmissions analyses.
- Transfer to Acute Care percents were calculated for Heart Attack Medical Management only.

Note that Length of Stay and Average Charge were analyzed and reported for all code-based conditions and DRGs.

Records Excluded from Analyses

In addition to the cases excluded from the general study population (see "Exclusion Criteria" section), individual hospitalizations were excluded from outcome analyses when the data in the record was insufficient or inappropriate to the measure of interest. For example, records missing the *Atlas Outcomes*™ MQPredDeath (a risk factor for mortality) were excluded from Mortality analyses because these cases could not be properly risk adjusted. For complete detail of all record exclusions (type and number), see Table E in the Data Table section of this report.

Trimming

Trimming methodology was used to remove outlier cases from the Length Stay and Average Charge analyses. Exclusion of outliers was imperative for the elimination of extreme values that otherwise would have had a significant and unrepresentative impact on the mean (average). For the current *Hospital Performance Report* the mean was the primary descriptive measure for Length of Stay and Average Charge. The trimming (that is, deleting) of individual records from the database was performed after all other exclusions were satisfied.

For Length of Stay the 99th percentile was used as the trim point. If the length of stay of a particular record was in excess of the trim point, that record was removed from the database, and thus from Length of Stay analyses. (Length of stay outliers were also excluded from the Readmissions analyses.)

Trim points for Average Charge for each procedure or treatment group were calculated using the "+/- 3.0 interquartile range" method (IQR). Since charges vary dramatically among regions for the same code-based condition or DRG, trim points were calculated at the regional level. There are nine regions; therefore, nine different sets of upper and lower trim points were used for each procedure or treatment group. Hospitals were consolidated into the three Pennsylvania areas after the trimming of outlier charges was performed.

Trim points for Average Charge were determined as follows:

- Q1 = the first quartile (25th percentile length of stay value) of all patient records from the comparative database in a particular category
- Q3 = the third quartile (75th percentile length of stay value) of all patient records from the comparative database in a particular category

```
IQR = Q3 - Q1
```

```
Lower Trim Point = Q1 - (3.0 \times IQR)
Upper Trim Point = Q3 + (3.0 \times IQR)
```

Determination of Utilization and Outcome Values

Separate analyses were performed to determine *actual* Mortality percents, Length of Stay in days, Short Length of Stay Outlier percents, Long Length Of Stay Outlier percents, Readmissions for Any Reason percents, Readmissions for Complication or Infection percents, and Average Charge. Except for the calculation of Average Charge¹, actual results for each hospital were then adjusted for clinical, demographic, and/or socioeconomic risk inherent in a particular hospital's population. The hospital's risk profile was used to calculate *expected* values, which were then the basis of the *risk-adjusted* values that are displayed in the printed and Web site reports for Length of Stay and Length of Stay Outliers (Short/Long). For Mortality, Length of Stay Outliers (Short/Long) and Readmissions (Any Reason and for Complication or Infection) significance tests were conducted to determine if the difference between a hospital's actual and expected values was too large to be attributed solely to chance. These results are displayed as *ratings*.

Determining Actual (Observed) Values

Mortality This percent was determined by dividing the total number of

hospitalizations ending in death by the number of hospitalizations in the mortality analysis for that particular procedure or treatment

group.

Average Length of Stay

The length of stay for a hospitalization was determined by

subtracting the admit date from the discharge date. The Average Length of Stay was determined as the arithmetic mean length of stay for the hospitalizations included in the Length of Stay analysis

for a particular procedure or treatment group.

Length of Stay Outliers (Short and Long)

This percent was determined by dividing the total number of short/long length of stay outlier hospitalizations by the number of hospitalizations in the Length of Stay Outlier analysis for that

particular procedure or treatment group.

Readmissions for Any Reason

This percent was determined by dividing the number of discharges readmitted at least once for an acute care condition² to **any** GAC or specialty GAC hospital within 30 days of discharge by the total number of discharges included in the Readmissions analysis for that particular procedure or treatment group. If, over the study period, a patient had multiple discharges in the same procedure or treatment group, each discharge was independently investigated to determine whether it had a readmission within 30 days of that discharge. Thus, a single patient could contribute more than one readmission to the numerator count (i.e., one for each of the multiple discharges that are in the same procedure or treatment group). Same day readmissions were included only if the original hospitalization resulted in a discharge to "home."³

¹Average Charge for the code-based conditions was adjusted to account for variations in case-mix that occurred because these conditions included more than one DRG in their definition. See "Special Considerations for Average Charge" section.

² Readmissions for conditions related to behavioral health, physical rehabilitation, mental health, or skilled nursing were not included.

³ "Home" discharges included those patients who were discharged to: 1) home or self care (routine discharge), 2) home under the care of an organized Home Health Service Organization, or 3) home under the care of a Home IV (intravenous) provider.

Readmissions for Similar to Readmissions for Any Reason, except the number of Complication or Infection discharges readmitted to any GAC or specialty GAC hospital within

discharges readmitted to any GAC or specialty GAC hospital within 30 days is limited to only those readmissions with a principal diagnosis or principal procedure that indicates a complication or infection. (See Table B for the ICD-9-CM codes that defined

readmissions for complication or infection.)

Average Charge This value was determined as the arithmetic mean average charge

for the hospitalizations included in the charge analysis for a

particular procedure or treatment group.

Determining Expected (Predicted) Values

Risk Adjustment Procedures

Regression techniques were used to construct "risk-adjustment models" for Mortality, Length of Stay, and Readmissions (for Any Reason and for Complication or Infection). The models used three risk factors to calculate expected, or predicted, results. Hospitals whose discharges were characterized by a greater number of risk factors (e.g., severity of illness, comorbidity, demographic and/or socioeconomic factors) were given "credit" in the system; hospitalizations with more risk factors were expected to have longer lengths of stay, and a greater probability of death, and/or readmission.

The first step in building the risk adjustment models for Mortality, Length of Stay, and Readmissions was to identify possible risk-adjustment factors—those factors that potentially contribute to a particular event for the conditions and DRGs in the current report. In doing so, clinical, demographic, and socioeconomic factors identified in the literature were considered. The *Atlas Outcomes*™ Predicted Probability of Death and Predicted Length of Stay scores were also considered. The processes for 1) gathering and reporting the Atlas information and 2) building the PHC4 risk-adjustment models are explained in the following sections.

Atlas Outcomes™ Approach for Risk Adjustment

In a contractual agreement with MediQual Systems, Inc. [®], a business of Cardinal Health in Marlborough, Massachusetts, acute care hospitals are required to use MediQual's *Outcomes*TM Severity of Illness System to assess each patient's condition from date of admission through the first two days of the hospital stay (or a maximum of 30 hours, based on when the patient was admitted to the hospital). This system represents a summarization of patient risk/severity that includes the patient's predicted probability of death (MQPredDeath) and predicted length of stay (MQPredLOS). The MQPredDeath is derived from a logistic regression model and has a value from 0.000 to 1.000. The MQPredLOS is derived from a linear regression model and has no bounds.

The *Atlas Outcomes*™ system is based on the examination of numerous Key Clinical Findings (KCFs) such as lab tests, EKG readings, vital signs, the patient's medical history, imaging results, pathology, age, sex, and operative/endoscopy findings. Hospital personnel abstract these KCFs during specified timeframes in the hospitalization. Some pre-admission data are also captured (e.g., cardiac catheterization findings) as are some history findings. The KCF results are entered into algorithms that calculate the overall predicted probability of death or the predicted length of stay. The FFY 2005 Hospital Performance Report utilizes *Atlas Outcomes*™ version 3.9.

PHC4 Model Selection

Model selection identified three risk factors that were statistically significant predictors of the relevant event (i.e., mortality, length of stay, or readmission) in the most code-based

conditions and DRGs. Each code-based condition and DRG was modeled separately, and only the code-based conditions and DRGs that received that analysis were included (e.g., only conditions included in the Readmissions analysis were used to select the best risk variables for the Readmissions models).

Linear regression models were used for Length of Stay, while binary logistic regression models were used for Mortality and Readmissions outcomes. Risk factors were considered significant in a code-based condition or DRG if they met the p < 0.10 significance criteria.

To determine the first risk factor, individual models were run for each code-based condition and DRG that received that analysis. The candidate variable that was significant (p < 0.10) in the most models was chosen to be the first risk factor.

The second risk factor was determined by running a similar set of models for each codebased condition and DRG with the first risk factor already entered into the models. The candidate variable that was significant in the most models (after taking into account the effect of the first risk factor) was selected to be the second risk factor.

Similarly, the third risk factor was determined by running the models for each code-based condition and DRG with the first and second risk factors already entered into the models. The candidate variable that was significant in the most models (after taking into account the effect of the first and second risk factors) was selected to be the third risk factor.

The linear and logistic regression models used to calculate risk-adjusted results were limited to three risk factors in order to avoid over specification. The following table summarizes the risk factors found to be significant for each of the three models:

			R	eadmissions:
Rank	Mortality	Length of Stay	Any Reason	Complication or Infection
1 st	MQPredDeath	MqPredLOS	MqPredLOS	MqPredLOS
2^{nd}	Age/Age ²	Age/Age ²	Age/Age ²	Age/Age ²
3^{rd}	Female	Poverty Rate	Poverty Rate	Female

Calculation of Expected Values

Once the three risk factors were identified for each measure, separate models were run for each code-based condition and DRG using the three risk factors. These models estimated the relative effects (β_n) that the risk factors had on the relevant outcome value for each hospitalization, and generated model equations of the form:

$$\beta X = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3$$

where

 β_n = the relevant model coefficient (β_0 is the intercept)

 x_n = the value of the risk factor for a hospitalization

(risk factors that are binary, e.g., yes/no, were coded as yes = 1 and no = 0)

These models were then used to provide the predicted values (e.g., predicted probability of death, predicted length of stay) for each individual hospitalization (after exclusions). The risk factor values (X) were multiplied by the model coefficients (β) and summed to determine the value βX for each hospitalization.

For linear models, this value βX is the final predicted value. For logistic models, the predicted value was calculated as:

$$p = \frac{e^{\beta X}}{1 + e^{\beta X}}$$

where $e \approx 2.7182818285$

The expected value for an individual hospital is the average of these predicted values for all hospitalizations (at that hospital) within that code-based condition or DRG. See Appendix Tables 2 and 3 for examples of risk-adjustment calculations.

Determining Risk-Adjusted Values

Risk adjusted values for Mortality, Length of Stay, and Readmissions (for Any Reason and for Complication or Infection) were calculated for each hospital by dividing the hospital's actual value by its expected value and then multiplying that result by the statewide average.

Special Considerations for Length of Stay Outlier Measures

The variable of analysis for the calculation of the Length of Stay Outlier Rates was the residual length of stay (ResLOS). This value was calculated for each record as the difference between the actual length of stay and MQPredLOS. Length of stay outliers were identified as those hospitalizations (for a given procedure or treatment group) in which the ResLOS was below the 5th percentile (short length of stay outliers) or above the 95th percentile (long length of stay outliers) of the statewide distribution of ResLOS.

The "expected" percent of short/long length of stay outliers for each hospital within each codebased condition or DRG was equal to the statewide percent—near 5%—of short (or long) length of stay outliers. (Note that since outliers were defined as being strictly less than the 5th percentile or greater than the 95th percentile, the statewide rates of outliers will be near, but less than, 5%.)

Since Length of Stay Outlier Rates were based on the MQPredLOS, the actual percent of outliers for each facility was already "risk-adjusted" and additional adjustments were not necessary.

Special Considerations for Average Charge

Average charge is reported without adjustment for each procedure or treatment group that contains cases from a <u>single</u> DRG. For the code-based conditions that include more than one DRG in their definition, **case-mix adjustment was used to calculate a composite average charge for the combined DRGs representing the condition**. This adjustment was made at the level of the nine Pennsylvania regions and was used to account for hospital variation in the mix of cases across DRGs. See Appendix Table 4 for an example of a case-mix adjustment calculation.

For example, Heart Attack – Medical Management is comprised of a subset of cases in DRGs 121, 122, and 123. The charges associated with DRGs 121, 122, and 123 were adjusted according to the number of patients and the average charge associated with treating patients in each of these three DRGs within a particular Pennsylvania region.

Determining Statistical Ratings

Significance tests (using the binomial distribution) were performed for Mortality, Length of Stay Outliers (Short/Long), and Readmissions (for Any Reason or for Complication or Infection). Even though the observed value for any single hospital may be comparable to its expected value, random variation plays a role in such a comparison. Therefore, statistical evaluation was used to

determine whether the difference between the observed and the expected value was too large to be attributed solely to chance.

Binomial Distribution

The use of the binomial distribution required the following assumptions:

- each observation included in the study had one of two observable events (e.g., mortality vs. no mortality). In other words, the response was dichotomous.
- the probability of the event (e.g., mortality) for each observation studied within a condition/DRG was equal to the probability provided by the risk models.
- the result for any one observation in the analyses had no impact on the result of another observation. In other words, the observations were independent.

The probability distributions for a specific hospital's outcome in one area of analysis was based on the hospital's predicted or expected values. Using the probability distribution, a p-value was calculated for each observed value. This p-value is the probability, or likelihood, that the value could have occurred by chance. If it was very unlikely (p < 0.05; see "Inferential Error" section below) that the observed or actual value could have occurred only by chance, then it was concluded that the observed value was "significantly different" from the expected value.

Calculation of p-values

The binomial distribution defines a probability of each potential outcome (e.g., the probability of observing exactly 3 deaths out of 40) according to the binomial formula:

$$P(a) = \left[\frac{N!}{a! (N-a)!} \right] p^{a} (1-p)^{N-a}$$

where:

a is the number of events (e.g., mortalities) that would be observed (i.e., a = 1 mortality, a = 2 mortalities, etc.) in N hospitalizations. The value of "a" can range from 0 through N (in other words, $0 \le a \le N$)

P(a) is the probability that exactly "a" events would be observed

N is the number of hospitalizations in a particular hospital's condition/DRG.

p is the overall expected rate (e.g., expected percent mortality) for a particular hospital's condition/DRG.

The rating process evaluated both fewer than expected as well as greater than expected mortalities. Thus a two-tailed test was used. In the example 3 deaths out of 40, the probability associated with the left-hand tail would be the sum of the probability for 0, 1, 2, or 3 deaths out of 40. The probability of the right-hand tail would be the sum of the probabilities at the upper end of the range (40, 39, 38...) until that sum is as close as possible to (but still less than) the probability associated with the left-hand tail. The two-tailed p-value is the sum of the probability of the left-hand and right-hand tails.

The two-tailed p-value was calculated for each hospital and code-based condition or DRG analyzed.

Inferential Error

A type of inferential error that can be made in statistics is called a Type I error or "false positive." The probability of committing a Type I error is equal to the level of significance established by the researcher. For the current analysis, the level of significance was set to 0.05. In the context of the *Hospital Performance Report*, a Type I error occurred when the difference between the observed Mortality percent and the expected Mortality percent was declared statistically significant, when in fact, the difference was due to chance. That is, for a particular code-based condition or DRG, the hospital was declared to be statistically higher or lower than expected, when in reality the hospital's level of performance was comparable to its expected performance as determined by its risk profile. Since the level of significance was set to 0.05, there was a 5% (or 1 in 20) chance of committing this type of error.

Assignment of Statistical Rating

A statistical rating was assigned to each hospital if the difference between what was observed and what was expected in a particular clinical condition was statistically significant. The p-value, calculated in terms of a "two-tailed" test was compared to the level of significance. For example, in determining the Mortality rating for each hospital:

- if the calculated p-value was greater than or equal to 0.05, then the conclusion was made that the difference between what was expected and what was observed was not statistically significant. It cannot be concluded that the actual Mortality percent for that particular clinical condition in that particular hospital was different from the expected Mortality percent derived from that particular hospital's risk profile.
- if the calculated p-value was less than 0.05, then the conclusion was made that the difference between what was expected and what was observed was statistically significant.
 - ➢ If the observed Mortality percent was less than expected the hospital was assigned the symbol "○" (as shown in the Hospital Performance Report) to indicate that the Mortality percent was significantly less than expected for a particular clinical condition.
 - ➤ If the observed Mortality percent was higher than expected the hospital was assigned the symbol "•" (as shown in the *Hospital Performance Report*) to indicate that the Mortality percent was significantly greater than expected for a particular clinical condition.

Case Requirements for Reporting

In the printed report "NR" (not reported) is displayed in place of a particular result whenever the number of cases analyzed for that particular measure (after exclusions) is less than five. However, if there are less than five cases (identified in the report in the column named "cases") in the mortality analysis (or length of stay analysis for conditions/DRGs for which mortality is not reported), NR appears in place of all results. Note that for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair and Heart Attack – Angioplasty/Stent there was a high percentage of hospitals with zero cases; for practical reasons these hospitals do not appear in the display for these particular procedures.

Results presented on the Web site are similar to the printed report, with one exception: when there are less than 5 cases in the "cases" column, the hospital does not appear in the display for that particular condition or DRG.

DEFINITION TABLES

Table A

The 30 Code-Based Conditions and 19 DRGs in the FFY 2005 Hospital Performance Report

The following table outlines the code-based conditions (the ICD-9-CM codes and DRGs used to define each) and the DRGs included in the report. These codes and DRGs are applicable to CMS Grouper Version 22 (inpatient discharges occurring October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005). Additional exclusions (clinically complex cases) are identified as footnotes.

The 19 code-based diagnoses in the printed report and on the Council's Web site are:

Diagnoses ¹	Principal Diagnosis Codes (ICD-9-CM)	DRGs
Abnormal Heartbeat	426.0, 426.10, 426.11, 426.12, 426.13, 426.2, 426.3, 426.4, 426.50, 426.51, 426.52, 426.53, 426.54, 426.6, 426.7, 426.81, 426.89, 426.9, 427.0, 427.1, 427.2, 427.31, 427.32, 427.60, 427.61, 427.69, 427.81, 427.89, 427.9, 746.86, 785.0	116, 117, 118, 124, 125, 138, 139, 517, 518
Blood Clot in Extremities	451.0, 451.11, 451.19, 451.2, 451.81, 451.82, 451.83, 451.84, 451.89, 451.9, 453.40, 453.41, 453.42, 453.8, 453.9	128,130,131
Blood Clot in Lung	415.11, 415.19	078
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 492.0, 492.8, 496, 506.4	088
Congestive Heart Failure (CHF)	398.91, 428.0, 428.1, 428.20, 428.21, 428.22, 428.23, 428.30, 428.31, 428.32 428.33, 428.40, 428.41, 428.42, 428.43, 428.9	124, 127
Diabetes with Amputation	250.xy (x = 0-9, y = 0-3)	113, 114, 285
Diabetes – Medical Management	250.xy (x = 0-9, y = 0-3)	018, 019, 130, 131, 294, 295, 331, 332
Heart Attack – Medical Management	410.01, 410.11, 410.21, 410.31, 410.41, 410.51, 410.61, 410.71, 410.81, 410.91	121,122,123
Intestinal Obstruction	560.0, 560.2, 560.30, 560.31, 560.39, 560.81, 560.89, 560.9	180, 181
Kidney Failure	403.01, 403.11, 403.91, 404.02, 404.12, 404.92, 584.5, 584.6, 584.7, 584.8, 584.9, 585, 586	316
Kidney and Urinary Tract Infections	590.00, 590.01, 590.10, 590.11, 590.2, 590.3, 590.80, 590.9, 595.x (x = 0-3), 595.81, 595.89, 595.9, 599.0	320, 321
Pneumonia – Aspiration	507.0	079, 080
Pneumonia – Infectious	480.0, 480.1, 480.2, 480.3, 480.8, 480.9, 481, 482.0, 482.1, 482.2, 482.30, 482.31, 482.32, 482.39, 482.41, 482.41, 482.49, 482.81, 482.82, 482.83, 482.84, 482.89, 482.9, 483.0, 483.1, 483.8, 485, 486, 487.0	079, 080, 089, 090
Respiratory Failure with Mechanical Ventilation	506.1, 518.5, 518.81, 518.83, 518.84	475
Respiratory Failure without Mechanical Ventilation	506.1, 518.5, 518.81, 518.83, 518.84	087
Septicemia	038.0, 038.10, 038.11, 038.19, 038.2, 038.3, 038.40, 038.41, 038.42, 038.43, 038.44, 038.49, 038.8, 038.9, 995.90, 995.91, 995.92, 995.93, 995.94	416
Stomach and Intestinal Bleeding	456.0, 530.7, 530.82, 531.00, 531.01, 531.20, 531.21, 531.40, 531.41, 531.60, 531.61, 532.00, 532.01, 532.20, 532.21, 532.40, 532.41, 532.60, 532.61, 533.00, 533.01, 533.20, 533.21, 533.40, 533.41, 533.60, 533.61, 534.00, 534.01, 534.20, 534.21, 534.40, 534.41, 534.60, 534.61, 535.01, 535.11, 535.21, 535.31, 535.41, 535.51, 535.61, 537.83, 537.84, 562.02, 562.03, 562.12, 562.13, 569.3, 569.85, 578.9	174, 175
Stroke – Hemorrhagic	430, 431, 432.0, 432.1, 432.9	014
Stroke – Non-Hemorrhagic	433.01, 433.11, 433.21, 433.31, 433.81, 433.91, 434.01, 434.11, 434.91, 436	014, 015

¹Cases involving HIV Infection (ICD-9-CM code 042, in any position) were excluded from all code-based diagnoses and procedures.

Table A continued

The 11 code-based procedures in the printed report and on the Council's Web site are:

Procedures ¹	Principal Procedure Codes (ICD-9-CM)	DRGs
Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair	38.44, 38.64, 38.84 With PDx of 441.4	110, 111
Colorectal Procedures ²	45.71, 45.72, 45.73, 45.74, 45.75, 45.76, 45.79, 45.8, 45.92, 45.94, 46.03, 46.10, 46.11, 46.13, 46.42, 46.43, 46.52, 46.76, 46.94, 48.49, 48.5, 48.62, 48.63, 48.69, 48.75, 48.76, 70.72	146, 147, 148, 149
Gallbladder Removal - Laparoscopic	51.23, 51.24	195, 196, 493, 494
Gallbladder Removal - Open	51.21, 51.22	195, 196, 197, 198
Heart Attack - Angioplasty/Stent	36.01, 36.02, 36.05, 36.06, 36.07 With PDx of 410.01, 410.11, 410.21, 410.31, 410.41, 410.51, 410.61, 410.71, 410.81, or 410.91	516, 526
Hip Fracture - Surgical Repair	78.55, 79.15, 79.25, 79.35, 79.55, 81.51, 81.52 With PDx of 820.0x ($x = 0-3,9$), 820.1x ($x = 0-3,9$), 820.2x ($x = 0-2$), 820.3x ($x = 0-2$), 820.8, or 820.9	209, 210, 211
Hysterectomy - Abdominal	68.31, 68.39, 68.4, 68.6, 68.9	353, 354, 355, 357, 358, 359
Hysterectomy - Vaginal	68.51, 68.59, 68.7	353, 354, 355, 357, 358, 359
Prostatectomy - Radical	60.3, 60.4, 60.5, 60.62, 60.69	306, 307, 334, 335
Prostatectomy - Transurethral	60.21, 60.29	306, 307, 334, 335, 336, 337
Removal of Blockage of Neck Vessels	38.12	533, 534

The 19 DRGs reported only on the Council's Web site are:

DRG	Common Names
001	Brain Surgery, complicated
075	Major Lung Operations
076	Miscellaneous Lung Procedures, complicated
096	Bronchitis and Asthma, complicated
097	Bronchitis and Asthma, uncomplicated
141	Hypotension and Fainting, complicated
143	Chest Pain
154	Stomach and Small Intestinal Operations, complicated
182	Stomach and Intestinal Infections and Disorders, complicated
183	Stomach and Intestinal Infections and Disorders, uncomplicated
188	Stomach and Intestinal Complications and Disorders
202	Cirrhosis and Alcoholic Hepatitis
203	Liver, Gallbladder or Pancreatic Cancer
204	Noncancerous Pancreatic Disorders
205	Liver Disease Except Cancer, Cirrhosis, Alcoholic Hepatitis, complicated
243	Medical Back Problems
415	Surgery for Infectious or Parasitic Disease
418	Infection after Surgery or Trauma
478	Vascular Operations Except Heart, complicated

¹Cases involving HIV Infection (ICD-9-CM code 042, in any position) were excluded from all code-based diagnoses and procedures.

²In addition to the HIV Infection exclusions, cases involving abdominal trauma were excluded. Abdominal trauma was defined by the following ICD-9-CM codes: 863.0—864.19, 868.00—869.1, 879.2—879.9, 902.0—902.9, 908.1, 908.2, 908.4, 908.6, 908.9, 922.2, 935.2, 936, 937, 938, 947.3.

TABLE B

ICD-9-CM Codes Used to Define Readmissions for Complication or Infection

Readmissions with one of the following ICD-9-CM codes listed as the principal diagnosis or principal procedure were included in this measure. These codes are applicable to CMS Grouper Versions 22 and 23.

Procedure/Medical Care Re 54.61 (procedure)	lated Events					
			Cardiac Comp	lications		
	998.7		410.01		410.61	
909.3	998.83		410.11		410.71	
995.4	998.89		410.21		410.81	
995.86	998.9		410.31		410.91	
995.89	999.2		410.41		997.1	
998.0	999.4		410.51		337.1	
998.2	999.5		410.51			
998.31	999.6		Vanous Thron	nbosis/Pulmonary	Embolism	
998.32	999.7		415.11	451.81	453.8	
			415.11		453.6 997.2	
998.4	999.8			453.40 453.41		
998.6	999.9		451.11 451.19	453.41 453.42	999.1	
Digestive System Complica	ations		Hypo/Hypertei	nsion		
564.2	997.4		458.21		997.91	
			458.29			
Pulmonary Compromise				Brain Damage		
31.1 (procedure)	518.5		348.1		433.81	
31.21 (procedure)	518.81		430		433.91	
31.29 (procedure)	518.82		431		434.01	
512.1	518.84		432.0		434.11	
514	997.3		432.1		434.91	
518.4	998.81		432.9		436	
			433.01		997.00	
Hemorrhage			433.11		997.01	
39.41 (procedure)	998.11		433.21		997.02	
39.98 (procedure)	998.12		433.31		997.09	
57.93 (procedure)	998.13					
,				nt or Graft Complic		
lutu di u			530.87	996.31	996.47⊥	996.79
Infection			536.40	996.39	996.49⊥	
038.0 567.		995.93	536.42	996.4*	996.52	
038.10 567		995.94	536.49	996.40⊥	996.59	
038.11 567		996.60	569.60	996.41⊥	996.70	
038.19 567		996.61	569.62	996.42⊥	996.72	
038.2 567		996.62	569.69	996.43 1	996.74	
038.3 567.3		996.64	996.04	996.44 ↓	996.76	
038.40 567.3		996.65	996.1	996.45 1	996.77	
038.41 567.3	39⊥	996.66	996.30	996.46 [⊥]	996.78	
038.42 567.		996.67				
038.43 567.	81 _	996.69	Gastric/Intesti	nal Hemorrhage or	Ulceration	
038.44 567.	89L	998.51	49.95 (proced	ure)	533.10	
038.49 567.	9	998.59	531.00		533.11	
038.8 569.	61	999.3	531.01		533.20	
038.9 995.			531.10		533.21	
530.86 995.			531.11		533.40	
536.41 995.			531.20		533.41	
300.			531.21		533.60	
			531.40		533.61	
			531.41		534.00	
			531.60		534.01	
			531.61		534.10	
Pneumonia (coded by car	usative organ	ism)	532.00		534.11	
481	482.81	,	532.01		534.20	
482.0	482.82		532.01		534.21	
482.1	482.83		532.10		534.40	
482.2	482.84		532.20		534.41	
482.30	482.89		532.21		534.60	
482.31	482.9		532.40		534.61	
	483.0		532.41		537.84	
482.32	102 1		532.60		578.9	
482.39	483.1					
482.39 482.40	483.8		532.61			
482.39 482.40 482.41	483.8 485		533.00			
482.39 482.40	483.8 485 486	ective as of 10/01/05				

DATA TABLES

TABLE C1
Statewide Utilization and Outcome Data for Code-Based Conditions

Diagnoses in Printed Report and on the Web Site Readmissions # of % Length % Any Average % Comp/ Mortality² Description Cases1 of Stay² Reason² Charge² Infec Abnormal Heartbeat 41.539 1.0 \$26.350 3.5 14.8 2.2 **Blood Clot in Extremities** 7,664 0.5 NR NR \$14,513 4.3 Blood Clot in Lung 5,783 NR NR \$24,497 2.2 5.6 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary 30,397 1.3 4.5 22.5 5.7 \$18,134 Disease Congestive Heart Failure 55,029 3.4 5.0 26.8 4.5 \$22.795 Diabetes with Amputation 10.0 22.3 5.8 \$54,426 2,113 1.9 **Diabetes - Medical Management** 16,030 8.0 3.8 20.4 3.0 \$17,444 Heart Attack - Medical Management 14,337 NR NR \$29,702 12.7 5.7 Intestinal Obstruction 8,367 2.5 4.4 NR NR \$17,474 Kidney Failure 25.6 \$27,451 17,175 6.6 6.0 5.5 Kidney and Urinary Tract Infections 21,992 1.2 4.3 18.1 4.3 \$17,466 Pneumonia - Aspiration 8,810 11.8 7.0 24.7 7.5 \$29,694 Pneumonia - Infectious 48,501 \$19,887 3.3 5.1 17.6 6.0 Respiratory Failure with Mechanical 5,521 29.9 10.0 27.3 12.4 \$69,323 Ventilation Respiratory Failure without 6,331 14.5 6.4 25.3 12.7 \$25,254 Mechanical Ventilation Septicemia 18,409 19.7 7.3 NR NR \$30,119 Stomach and Intestinal Bleeding 21,334 \$20,562 2.3 4.3 17.1 5.2 Stroke - Hemorrhagic 3,636 30.1 6.3 18.7 8.3 \$36,044 5.7 Stroke - Non-Hemorrhagic 19,922 5.8 5.1 15.1 \$26,722

Procedure	s in Printe	d Report a	and on th	ne Web Sit	e	
Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair	862	4.1	8.1	12.8	4.6	\$74,553
Colorectal Procedures	15,285	3.3	8.8	NR	NR	\$54,105
Gallbladder Removal - Laparoscopic	13,508	0.3	3.7	7.7	2.2	\$27,227
Gallbladder Removal - Open	2,769	1.8	6.8	10.6	4.5	\$43,626
Heart Attack - Angioplasty/Stent	11,871	1.5	3.9	NR	NR	\$64,221
Hip Fracture - Surgical Repair	13,063	2.2	6.0	14.7	5.7	\$34,828
Hysterectomy - Abdominal	16,673	0.1	2.9	NR	NR	\$21,295
Hysterectomy - Vaginal	6,898	NR	1.7	3.3	1.8	\$15,402
Prostatectomy - Radical	2,955	NR	3.0	NR	NR	\$29,734
Prostatectomy - Transurethral	4,039	NR	2.6	NR	NR	\$16,256
Removal of Blockage of Head and Neck Vessels	5,737	0.3	2.3	8.9	2.4	\$23,375

NR: Not Reported

¹Number of cases after mortality exclusions (or LOS exclusions for conditions in which mortality is not reported).

²All measures, Mortality, Length of Stay, Readmissions, and Average Charge were calculated after specific exclusion criteria were met for each measure.

TABLE C2 Statewide Utilization and Outcome Data for Diagnosis Related Groups

	DRO	Gs on the	Web Site				
					Readn		
DRG	Description	# of Cases¹	% Mortality²	Length of Stay ²	% Any Reason²	% Comp/ Infec²	Average Charge ²
001	Brain Surgery, complicated	3,251	8.2	7.9	NR	NR	\$94,386
075	Major Lung Operations	5,837	3.6	7.7	NR	NR	\$63,067
076	Miscellaneous Lung Procedures, complicated	4,842	7.4	8.9	NR	NR	\$57,035
096	Bronchitis and Asthma, complicated	7,659	0.2	3.9	15.3	2.9	\$16,509
097	Bronchitis and Asthma, uncomplicated	7,078	NR	NR	8.9	1.2	\$12,360
141	Hypotension and Fainting, complicated	12,700	0.4	3.2	14.0	2.5	\$18,073
143	Chest Pain	42,125	<0.1	1.6	9.8	1.2	\$14,293
154	Stomach and Small Intestinal Operations, complicated	3,070	6.7	11.3	NR	NR	\$90,479
182	Stomach and Intestinal Infections and Disorders, complicated	33,140	1.1	4.0	NR	NR	\$17,365
183	Stomach and Intestinal Infections and Disorders, uncomplicated	17,987	NR	NR	NR	NR	\$13,725
188	Stomach and Intestinal Complications and Disorders	9,161	3.2	4.8	NR	NR	\$21,109
202	Cirrhosis and Alcoholic Hepatitis	4,102	7.6	5.5	34.5	4.1	\$30,513
203	Liver, Gallbladder or Pancreatic Cancer	3,621	12.8	5.6	NR	NR	\$30,118
204	Noncancerous Pancreatic Disorders	9,799	0.9	4.8	21.3	2.3	\$20,979
205	Liver Disease Except Cancer, Cirrhosis, Alcoholic Hepatitis, complicated	4,009	6.8	5.3	33.0	3.9	\$30,478
243	Medical Back Problems	12,060	0.7	3.8	16.2	2.6	\$16,539
415	Surgery for Infectious or Parasitic Disease	5,457	9.3	10.9	22.9	10.7	\$64,634
418	Infection after Surgery or Trauma	4,155	0.6	4.8	17.5	9.4	\$20,473
478	Vascular Operations Except Heart, complicated	9,444	2.5	6.3	NR	NR	\$54,799

¹Number of cases after mortality exclusions (or LOS exclusions for conditions in which mortality is not reported).
²All measures, Mortality, Length of Stay, Readmissions, and Average Charge were calculated after specific exclusion criteria were met for each measure.
NR: Not Reported

Table D1

Statewide Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection, by Reason for Readmission Code-Based Conditions

		'n						Reaso	n for Re	admis	sion				
Code-Based Condition	# of Cases Meeting Readmissions Criteria	Total # of Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection	% of Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection	Procedure/Medical Care Related Events	Digestive System Complications	Pulmonary Compromise	Hemorrhage	Infection	Pneumonia	Cardiac Complications	Venous Thrombosis/ Pulmonary Embolism	Hypo/Hypertension	Stroke/Anoxic Brain Damage	Device, Implant or Graft Complications	Gastric/Intestinal Hemorrhage or Ulceration
				I	Diagno	oses									
Abnormal Heart Beat	38,471	863	2.2	6	3	71	25	148	202	104	72	9	114	48	63
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	28,830	1,641	5.7	4	0	520	2	124	684	94	76	7	61	9	70
Congestive Heart Failure	50,429	2,253	4.5	9	1	374	22	390	575	372	85	13	204	75	145
Diabetes with Amputation	1,967	114	5.8	8	0	7	0	50	15	8	8	2	7	6	3
Diabetes - Medical Management	15,081	451	3.0	5	1	40	1	133	91	56	27	2	55	19	23
Kidney Failure	14,915	825	5.5	2	3	70	11	317	129	65	62	9	57	37	65
Kidney and Urinary Tract Infections	20,671	880	4.3	9	5	77	12	317	187	51	68	5	81	35	40
Pneumonia - Aspiration	7,233	545	7.5	1	2	123	1	147	146	29	27	0	20	30	23
Pneumonia - Infectious	44,645	2,701	6.0	7	1	367	8	348	1,441	127	169	9	124	31	81
Respiratory Failure with Mechanical Ventilation	3,480	431	12.4	0	1	251	0	65	51	17	16	7	11	10	5
Respiratory Failure without Mechanical Ventilation	5,083	647	12.7	0	0	427	0	52	112	13	8	0	9	7	19
Stomach and Intestinal Bleeding	19,858	1,025	5.2	8	9	45	22	142	146	79	68	4	73	22	410
Stroke - Hemorrhagic	2,105	174	8.3	0	1	11	0	24	11	1	29	3	87	4	3
Stroke - Non-Hemorrhagic	17,565	993	5.7	2	2	54	5	137	95	65	51	5	527	10	44
				P	roced	lures									
Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair	755	35	4.6	3	10	2	3	9	3	1	1	0	1	2	0
Gallbladder Removal - Laparoscopic	12,717	279	2.2	17	77	12	18	58	33	17	28	1	5	1	13
Gallbladder Removal - Open	2,575	117	4.5	17	17	5	2	45	6	4	11	0	5	3	3
Hip Fracture - Surgical Repair	12,183	692	5.7	12	7	55	27	186	125	52	68	2	26	88	40
Hysterectomy - Vaginal	6,618	119	1.8	7	8	1	28	60	4	1	8	0	1	1	0
Removal of Blockage of Neck Vessels	5,403	129	2.4	3	1	8	15	17	7	18	2	2	43	6	8

Note: For some conditions, the sum of the readmits for complication or infection may be higher than the total because some records had both a principal diagnosis and a principal procedure that met the criteria for being captured in this analysis. When this occurs, the record is shown in each readmission category; however, the record was counted only once in determining the percent of readmissions for complication or infection.

TABLE D2

Statewide Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection, by Reason for Readmission DRGs

			ō					ı	Reaso	n for Re	admis	sion							
DRG		# of Cases Meeting Readmissions Criteria	Total # of Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection	% of Cases Readmitted for Complication or Infection	Procedure/Medical Care Related Events	Digestive System Complications	Pulmonary Compromise	Hemorrhage	Infection	Pneumonia	Cardiac Complications	Venous Thrombosis/ Pulmonary Embolism	Hypo/Hypertension	Stroke/Anoxic Brain Damage	Device, Implant or Graft Complications	Gastric/Intestinal Hemorrhage or Ulceration			
096	Bronchitis and Asthma, complicated	7,374	213	2.9	2	1	27	0	26	98	15	19	2	16	1	6			
097	Bronchitis and Asthma, uncomplicated	6,884	83	1.2	0	0	6	0	6	37	4	23	0	4	1	2			
141	Hypotension and Fainting, complicated	12,062	301	2.5	1	0	16	1	65	66	31	39	12	32	14	24			
143	Chest Pain	40,000	488	1.2	3	4	25	6	75	120	104	45	5	33	28	41			
202	Cirrhosis and Alcoholic Hepatitis	3,388	140	4.1	2	1	22	1	54	21	7	1	0	6	1	24			
204	Noncancerous Pancreatic Disorders	9,184	208	2.3	5	11	18	6	75	25	10	17	1	10	14	18			
205	Liver Disease Except Cancer, Cirrhosis and Alcoholic Hepatitis, complicated	3,301	130	3.9	2	3	15	3	63	11	3	10	0	6	6	8			
243	Medical Back Problems	11,302	292	2.6	4	1	18	2	77	52	30	37	1	39	14	17			
415	Surgery for Infectious or Parasitic Disease	4,549	485	10.7	28	6	23	8	300	37	12	24	0	16	25	11			
418	Infection After Surgery or Trauma	3,765	354	9.4	27	8	12	7	246	6	3	18	1	10	11	5			

Note: For some DRGs, the sum of the readmits for complication or infection may be higher than the total because some records had both a principal diagnosis and a principal procedure that met the criteria for being captured in this analysis. When this occurs, the record is shown in each readmission category; however, the record was counted only once in determining the percent of readmissions for complication or infection.

Statewide Exclusions from Analyses, by Measure

The exclusions are listed in the order in which they were removed from the reference database.

Code-Based Conditions

	Mortality		Length of Stay		Length o Outlie Short an	ers:	Readmissions: Any Reason and Complication or Infection		Average Charge		Transfer to Acute Care⁵	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Cases Before Exclusions	461,393	100.0	475,569	100.0	387,754	100.0	361,198	100.0	475,569	100.0	19,265	100.0
Exclusions:												:
Records with errors	3,115	0.7	3,146	0.7	2,670	0.7	2,394	0.7	3,146	0.7	170	0.9
Duplicate records	72	<0.1	72	<0.1	60	<0.1	57	<0.1	72	<0.1	3	<0.1
Missing or invalid discharge (DC) status	92	<0.1	93	<0.1	74	<0.1	80	<0.1	93	<0.1	0	0.0
Non-adult (< 18) or invalid age	3,059	0.7	3,059	0.6	2,516	0.6	2,520	0.7	3,059	0.6	2	<0.1
Patients with HIV Infection ¹	441	0.1	443	0.1	343	0.1	366	0.1	443	0.1	11	0.1
Patients with abdominal trauma ²	48	<0.1	48	<0.1	NA	NA	NA	NA	48	<0.1	NA	NA
Patients who left against medical advice	3,027	0.7	3,031	0.6	2,799	0.7	2,524	0.7	3,031	0.6	144	0.7
Patients transferred to GAC facilities	14,534	3.2	14,551	3.1	13,515	3.5	8,411	2.3	14,551	3.1	NA	NA
Patients who died	NA	NA	19,665	4.1	17,482	4.5	13,020	3.6	NA	NA	1,867	9.7
Missing Atlas Outcomes™ data ³	4,347	0.9	3,978	8.0	3,218	0.8	3,267	0.9	NA	NA	NA	NA
Invalid length of stay	NA	NA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Length of stay outliers	NA	NA	3,862	0.8	NA	NA	2,946	8.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Non-Pennsylvania residents	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9,339	2.6	NA	NA	NA	NA
Patients discharged to hospice	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,172	0.9	NA	NA	NA	NA
Missing or inconsistent patient identifiers4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,681	0.5	NA	NA	NA	NA
Admit, DC, readmit date discrepancies	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	568	0.2	NA	NA	NA	NA
Invalid charges	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	196	<0.1	NA	NA
Charge outliers	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9,757	2.1	NA	NA
No reference data	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	825	0.2	NA	NA
Intermediary hospitalizations	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	269	0.1	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total Exclusions	28,735	6.2	51,948	10.9	42,677	11.0	50,614	14.0	35,221	7.4	2,197	11.4
Total Cases in Analysis	432,658	93.8	423,621	89.1	345,077	89.0	310,584	86.0	440,348	92.6	17,068	88.6

This exclusion is only applicable to the code-based conditions.

This exclusion is only applicable to the Colorectal Procedures study population.

Either Missing MQPredDeath or MQPredLOS, depending on which one is used as a risk adjustor (MQPredDeath was used for mortality) MQPredLOS was used for Length of Stay, Length of Stay Outliers, Readmissions for Any Reason and Readmissions for Complication or Infection).

Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Sex

This measure is reported only for Heart Attack – Medical Management

NA: Not Applicable

TABLE E CONTINUED

Statewide Exclusions from Analyses, by Measure

The exclusions are listed in the order in which they were removed from the reference database.

DRGs

DNGS	Mortali	ity	Length of Stay		Length of Stay Outliers: Short and Long		Readmissions: Any Reason and Complication or Infection		Average Charge		Transfer to Acute Care⁵	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Cases Before Exclusions	186,187	100.0	212,410	100.0	78,898	100.0	117,794	100.0	212,410	100.0	NA	NA
Exclusions:										!		
Records with errors	935	0.5	1,057	0.5	439	0.6	615	0.5	1,057	0.5	NA	NA
Duplicate records	31	<0.1	32	<0.1	16	<0.1	23	<0.1	32	<0.1	NA	NA
Missing or invalid discharge (DC) status	32	<0.1	33	<0.1	13	<0.1	24	<0.1	33	<0.1	NA	NA
Non-adult (< 18) or invalid age	1,526	8.0	1,526	0.7	528	0.7	1,041	0.9	1,526	0.7	NA	NA
Patients with HIV Infection ¹	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Patients with abdominal trauma ²	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Patients who left against medical advice	2,925	1.6	3,363	1.6	2,074	2.6	2,565	2.2	3,363	1.6	NA	NA
Patients transferred to GAC facilities	4,556	2.4	4,681	2.2	2,198	2.8	3,151	2.7	4,681	2.2	NA	NA
Patients who died	NA	NA	3,878	1.8	508	0.6	1,400	1.2	NA	NA	NA	NA
Missing Atlas Outcomes™ data ³	1,750	0.9	1,890	0.9	731	0.9	1,131	1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Invalid length of stay	NA	NA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Length of stay outliers	NA	NA	1,677	0.8	NA	NA	879	0.7	NA	NA	NA	NA
Non-Pennsylvania residents	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,675	3.1	NA	NA	NA	NA
Patients discharged to hospice	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	330	0.3	NA	NA	NA	NA
Missing or inconsistent patient identifiers4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	925	8.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Admit, DC, readmit date discrepancies	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	182	0.2	NA	NA	NA	NA
Invalid charges	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	91	<0.1	NA	NA
Charge outliers	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4,209	2.0	NA	NA
No reference data	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Intermediary hospitalizations	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	44	<0.1	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total Exclusions	11,755	6.3	18,137	8.5	6,507	8.2	15,985	13.6	14,992	7.1	NA	NA
Total Cases in Analysis	174,432	93.7	194,273	91.5	72,391	91.8	101,809	86.4	197,418	92.9	NA	NA

¹This exclusion is only applicable to the code-based conditions.

²This exclusion is only applicable to the Colorectal Procedures study population.

³Either Missing MQPredDeath or MQPredLOS, depending on which one is used as a risk adjustor (MQPredDeath was used for mortality) MQPredLOS was used for Length of Stay, Length of Stay Outliers, Readmissions for Any Reason and Readmissions for Complication or Infection).

4Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Sex

⁵This measure is reported only for Heart Attack – Medical Management

TABLE F

Hospitals Not Reported in the Hospital Performance Report – FFY 2005

The study population for the Hospital Performance Report - FFY 2005 included useable discharge records from all GAC/SGAC Pennsylvania facilities required to abstract clinical data (Atlas) in the reported time period. During the study period there were 179 facilities in Pennsylvania.

Hospital Name

Reason for Not Reporting

Facilities currently in operation that submitted incomplete data¹:

Central and Northeastern Pennsylvania

Ashland Regional Missing Atlas severity scores = 12.3% Bloomsburg Missing Atlas severity scores = 40.6%

Southeastern Pennsylvania

Warminster Missing Atlas severity scores = 18.0%

Western Pennsylvania

Lock Haven Missing Atlas severity scores = 35.9%

Facilities that closed:

Central and Northeastern Pennsylvania

Hazleton St Joseph Closed facility—effective 09/15/05 Philipsburg Closed facility—effective 04/13/06

Western Pennsylvania

Closed facility—effective 01/08/06 Tara Hospital at Brownsville (Formerly Brownsville General) Closed facility—effective 03/17/06 Monsour

Other facilities not reported:

Southeastern Pennsylvania

Chestnut Hill Records with data errors Children's hospital² Children's Hospital Philadelphia St. Christopher's Children's Children's hospital² Temple University Children's Children's hospital²

Western Pennsylvania

Children's hospital² Children's Hospital Pittsburgh

New specialized hospital (opened Edgewood 3/13/04) – number of records available for analysis in HPR was negligible³

Merged w/Allegheny General - effective Suburban General

01/01/05

Merged w/Conemaugh Valley - effective UPMC Lee Regional

08/01/05

Merged w/UPMC Passavant - effective UPMC Passavant Cranberry

07/01/05

Facilities reported in combination with other facilities:

Southeastern Pennsylvania

Unmerged from Crozer-Chester Taylor

on 01/01/05 but reported jointly until sufficient data are available

to report independently.

Springfield

¹Noncompliant hospitals with $\geq 10\%$ missing *Atlas Outcomes* TM severity scores (based on all records for which severity scores are required to be reported) or facilities that submitted incomplete UB data for one or more quarters. ²Pediatric cases were excluded from the Hospital Performance Report - FFY 2005 study populations. Therefore, data for children's hospitals were not reported. Adult discharges from pediatric hospitals were retained in the statewide dataset. ³ Discharges relevant to the HPR were retained in the statewide dataset.

APPENDIX

Valid Discharge Status Codes

Code	Description
01	Discharged to home or self-care (routine discharge)
02	Discharged/transferred to another short-term general hospital for inpatient care
03	Discharged/transferred to a skilled nursing facility (SNF) with Medicare certification
04	Discharged/transferred to an intermediate care facility (ICF)
05	Discharged/transferred to a non-Medicare PPS children's hospital or non-Medicare PPS cancer hospital for inpatient care
06	Discharged/transferred to home under care of organized Home Health Service Organization
07	Left against medical advice (AMA) or discontinued care
80	Discharged/transferred to home under care of a home IV (intravenous) provider
20	Expired
43	Discharged/Transferred to a Federal health care facility
50	Discharged to Hospice—home
51	Discharged to Hospice—medical facility
61	Discharged/transferred to hospital-based Medicare approved swing bed
62	Discharged/transferred to an inpatient rehabilitation facility including rehabilitation distinct part units of a hospital
63	Discharged/transferred to a Medicare certified long term care hospital (LTCH)
64	Discharged/transferred to a nursing facility certified under Medicaid but not certified under Medicare
65	Discharged/transferred to a psychiatric hospital or psychiatric distinct part unit of a hospital

Linear Regression Example

Calculations Used in Determining Length of Stay for a Hospital

Condition: Heart Attack - Medical Management

Total Cases: Number of hospitalizations for a hospital after exclusions (equal to n).

Mean of the length of stay for each hospitalization. Actual Length of Stay:

Mean of the predicted length of stay for each hospitalization. Expected Length of Stay:

Step 1: Calculate each hospitalization's predicted length of stay (PLOS):

 $PLOS = \beta X$

 $=\beta_0+\beta_1x_1+\beta_2x_2+\beta_3x_3+\beta_4x_4\\ =-2.1200+(0.5203)(x_1)+(0.0871)(x_2)+(-0.4550)(x_3)+(2.7393)(x_4)$

MQPredLOS value \mathbf{X}_{1}

 X_2 Age

Age-squared \mathbf{X}_3 Poverty Rate

β's are the regression coefficients that correspond to each respective risk

factor (x).

Step 2: Calculate the mean PLOS for a hospital (expected length of stay):

Mean PLOS = $\frac{\sum PLOS}{n}$

Risk-Adjusted Length of Stay:

Mean Actual LOS (Statewide Mean Actual LOS)

Logistic Regression Example

Calculations Used in Determining Readmissions for Any Reason for a Hospital Condition: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Total Cases: Number of hospitalizations for a hospital after exclusions (equal to n).

Actual Percent Readmitted for

 $\label{total number of cases readmitted for any reason / total number of hospitalizations. \\$

Any Reason:

Expected Percent Readmitted for Any Reason:

 $\label{thm:mean} \mbox{Mean of the predicted probability of readmission for any reason for each hospitalization.}$

Step 1: Calculate the predicted probability of readmission for any reason for each hospitalization (PReAny):

$$\beta X = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 \\ = -2.847 + (0.2006)(x_1) + (0.0149)(x_2) + (-0.1269)(x_3) + (1.1798)(x_4) \\ \text{where}$$

 $x_1 = MQPredLOS$

 $x_2 = Age$

 $x_3 = Age-squared$

 x_4 = Poverty Rate

β's are the regression coefficients that correspond to each respective risk factor (x).

PReAny =
$$\frac{e^{\beta X}}{1 + e^{\beta X}}$$

where e ≈ 2.7182818285

Step 2: Calculate the mean PReAny for a hospital (expected percent of readmissions):

Mean PReAny =
$$\frac{\sum PReAny}{n}$$

Risk-Adjusted Percent Readmitted for Any Reason: Mean Actual Percent Readmitted for Any Reason

Mean PReAny

(Statewide Mean Actual Percent Readmitted for Any Reason)

Case-Mix Adjustment Example

Calculations Used in Determining Average Charge for a Hospital

Region: Southwestern PA Condition: Pneumonia - Infectious

Total Cases: Number of hospitalizations for a hospital after exclusions (equal to n).

Actual Charge: Mean of the charges for each hospitalization.

Expected Charge: Mean of the predicted charges for each hospitalization.

Step 1: Calculate each hospitalization's predicted charge (PChg):

The PChg for each record is equal to the average charge for all hospitalizations (after exclusion) in the hospital's same region, condition, and DRG within the condition.

Region 1 - Southwestern PA, Pneumonia - Infectious, DRG079:...... \$21,752

Region 1 - Southwestern PA, Pneumonia - Infectious, DRG080:...... \$12,679

or

Region 1 - Southwestern PA, Pneumonia - Infectious, DRG089:........ \$13,714

or

Region 1 - Southwestern PA, Pneumonia - Infectious, DRG090:...... \$7,984

Step 2: Calculate the mean PChg for a hospital (expected charge):

Mean PChg = $\frac{\Sigma \text{ PChg}}{\Sigma}$

Mean Actual Chg (Region 1 Actual Charge) Risk-Adjusted Charge:

Mean PChg

PHC4 • Hospital Performance Report • FFY 2005 Data • Technical Notes Appendix

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

DC Discharge DOB Date of Birth

DRG Diagnosis Related Group FFY Federal Fiscal Year

GAC General Acute Care Hospital

ICD-9-CM International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification

IQR Interquartile Range KCF Key Clinical Findings

MQPredDeath Atlas Outcomes™ Predicted Probability of Death MQPredLOS Atlas Outcomes™ Predicted Length of Stay

NR Not Reported Q Quarter

SSN Social Security Number UB-92 Uniform Billing Form